

Invertible Additive Random Utility models

André de Palma (ENS Paris-Saclay, CREST, France)

Karim Kilani (CNAM, LISRA, France)

Workshop on Discrete choice models

Lausanne, April 2019

Please do not quote and do not circulate

Summary

DCM models associate to a vector of (a) deterministic components and of (b) random components of the utility a set of choice probabilities.

We show that **one choice probability** allows to uniquely **recover** :

(1) all the choice probabilities,

(2) the differences between any random component and a predefined one

(3) all random components consistent with one choice probability.

When the choice probabilities satisfy the **IIA** property, we can construct random components **positively and negatively correlated**.

We characterize **all expected maximum utilities** consistent with IIA.

Finally, we find all Generalized Extreme Value models satisfying IIA.

Additive Random Utility Models

Standard ARUM models : $\{v_i\} + \{\varepsilon_i\} \rightarrow P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}); \mathbf{v} \equiv v_1, \dots, v_n$

$$P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}) = \Pr \{v_i + \varepsilon_i \geq v_k + \varepsilon_k, k \in C\}, \quad C = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

$P_{i,A}(\mathbf{v})$ for $A \subset C$, $i \in A$ is defined similarly.

$n - 1$ integrations of the random components $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ leads $P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}) =$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{v_i + x_i - v_1} \dots \left[\int_{-\infty}^{v_i + x_i - v_i} \right] \dots \int_{-\infty}^{v_i + x_i - v_n} f_{\varepsilon}(x_1 \dots x_i \dots x_n) dx_n \dots [dx_i] \dots dx_1 dx_i$$

See McFadden, 1977, MBA-L, 1985, A-D-T, 1992.

Different ARUM can be generated

$\{\varepsilon_i\}$ i.i.d. Gumbel \rightarrow Logit

$\{\varepsilon_i\}$ GEV \rightarrow GEV

$\{\varepsilon_i\}$ Normal distrib. \rightarrow Probit

$P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}) \rightarrow CS(\mathbf{v})$ by integration (Small) = **indirect utility** function [price (or \mathbf{v})]

\rightarrow **Direct utility** function [Quantity] by substitution of the demand.

* Shannon entropy direct utility \rightarrow Logit (A-D-T, 1988)

* Generalised entropy \rightarrow All ARUMs and more (with complementarity)

(F-M-D-S 2018 & F-D-M, 2019) Trick: Start with the inverse model:

OLS estimations for market shares for MNL, any Nested, etc...

Standard inversion: Recover the deterministic part, \mathbf{v}

Berry, 1994: Logit $P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}) + \text{IIA}$, where $P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v})$ are the observed market share

$$\frac{P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v})}{P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})} = \exp(v_i - v_n), i, n \in C, \text{ or}$$

$$v_i - v_n = \log\left(\frac{P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v})}{P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})}\right),$$

i.e. all deterministic components \mathbf{v} (w.r.t. to component n)

can be recovered numerically (using a variant of Brower's Theorem).

This recovery result is known as the "Berry Inversion"; it holds for any ARUM.

[Early results: Existence of a Random Utility Model, given $P_{1,A}, \dots, P_{n,A}$?]

- DEFINITION A system of choice probabilities, $\{P_{i,A}\}$, is **stochastically rationalizable** if it can be generated by a RUM (Random Utility Model): Block & Marschak, 1960, McFadden & Richter, 1990.
- Block and Marschak, derived conditions (“B&M polynomials”) on $\{P_{i,A}\}$ that guarantee the system $\{P_{i,A}\}$ is stochastically rationalizable.

Assumption A1

Let: $\delta =: (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_n, \dots, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_{n-1})$.

A1: The vector δ admits a strictly positive and continuous PDF, $f_\delta(\cdot)$, with respect to the Lebesgue measure of \mathbf{R}^{n-1} .

Note: No finite expectations are required. for ε_i .

Include for ε_i Cauchy, Gumbel Laplace, Logistic, Normal,

but ε_i can be defined on a [semi-interval](#) as for:

Chi, Exponential, Fréchet, Gamma or Lognormal.

Inversion (1) : One choice probability is enough?

Given $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})$ with ARUM and A1:

can we infer all other choice probabilities

$P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v}) \rightarrow P_{1,C}(\mathbf{v}), \dots, P_{n-1,C}(\mathbf{v})?$

Inversion (2) : Find the differences of random components?

Given $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})$ with ARUM and A1:

can we infer the differences of random components,
which generate this choice probability?

$$P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}\} ?$$

Inversion (3) : Find the error terms?

Given $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})$, with ARUM and A1:

can we infer all random components,

which generates this choice probability?

$$P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \{\varepsilon_i\} ?$$

[Yellott and Strauss]

If $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ are **i.i.d.** with ARUM and IIIA(Yellott, 1977) shows $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ are necessarily Gumbelly distributed.

But could $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ be correlated ?

Strauss (1979) has an example, with **positive** correlations.

But coul $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \equiv \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ also **be negative** correlated?

Is the **i.i.d.** hypothesis needed?

[Hint! Only differences matter!]

$$P_{1,C}(\mathbf{v}) = \Pr \{v_1 + \varepsilon_1 \geq v_k + \varepsilon_k, k \in C\}; \mathbf{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

$$P_{1,C}(\mathbf{v}) = \Pr \{v_1 + \delta_1 > v_2 + \delta_2, \dots, v_1 + \delta_1 > v_n\}$$

Recall: $\delta_i \equiv \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_n, i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

So it suffices to find:

$\boldsymbol{\delta} \equiv \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}\}$; but we need to **backup** $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$

consistent with $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ (presumably $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is not unique).

Handling (1) Recover the $P_{1,C}, \dots, P_{n-1,C}$ from $P_{n,C}$?

Recall: δ are uniquely determined by **one** choice probability, e.g. $P_{n,A}$.

Since δ generate $P_{1,C}, \dots, P_{n-1,C}$, then $P_{n,C}$ is enough to recover **all** others

LEMMA

Under A1, all RUM choice probabilities

can be derived from $P_{n,C}$:

$$P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}) = - \int_{v_n}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_{n,C}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, x)}{\partial v_i} dx, \quad \mathbf{v} \in R^n, i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

$$P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}) > 0.$$

Handling (2) Recover the δ from an unique $P_{n,C}$

LEMMA

Under A1, and ARUM and given $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})$

$$F_{\delta}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) = P_{n,C}(-z_1, -z_2, \dots, -z_{n-1}, 0); \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

$$z_1 = x_1 - x_n, \dots, z_{n-1} = x_{n-1} - x_n, C = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Note : We only use the functional form of the choice probabilities $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})$.

Standard conditions on $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})$ so that $F_{\delta}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ is a CDF.

$f(\delta)$ can be recovered by deriving the above $F_{\delta}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ n times.

Handling (3) Recover all the ε from a unique $P_{n,C}$

THEOREM

Under A1, and ARUM and given $P_{i,n}(\mathbf{v})$, the ε has a CDF, satisfy:

$$\frac{\partial F_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\partial x_n} = P_{n,C}(-x_1, \dots, -x_n) g_z(x_n), x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $g_z(\cdot)$ is any PDF parametrized by $\mathbf{z} = (x_1 - x_n, x_2 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n)$.

Note that the error components **are not unique!**

Note : In ADP-1992, $g_z(\cdot) \rightarrow g(\cdot)$, so they do not generated **all** ε .

[It amounts to compute δ (associated to $P_{n,C}$) and freely choose ε_n .]

(Difference of) of random terms
in RUM with IIA

Assume more **structure** for $\{P_{i,A}\}$?

Work plan: assume that $\{P_{i,A}\}$ satisfy the IIA **properties**.

- We show that **δ** is **uniquely** determined.
- We investigate **all classes of ϵ** consistent with all ARUM probabilities $\{P_{i,A}\}$ satisfying IIA.

Handling (1) Given $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})$

LEMMA

One Logit choice probabilities allows to recover all other choice probabilities.

i.e. If $P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{e^{v_1}}{\sum_{k=1}^n e^{v_k}}$ is given,

then all other choice probabilities can be recovered.

Hint:
$$\frac{\partial P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v})}{\partial v_n} = \frac{\partial P_{n,C}(\mathbf{v})}{\partial v_i}.$$

Handling (2) Recover all ε under the IIA

THEOREM:

Under A1, an ARUM satisfies IIA for any $\mathbf{v} \in R^n$ **iif** ε has a CDF such that its derivative satisfies:

$$\frac{\partial F_{\varepsilon}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_n} = \frac{e^{-x_n}}{\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-x_k}} g_{\mathbf{z}}(x_n), x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $g_{\mathbf{z}}(\cdot)$ is any PDF parametrized by $\mathbf{z} = (x_1 - x_n, x_2 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n)$.

Note : we do not assume that ε are defined on R (ε_i could be defined on semi-intervals).

We just assume IIA.

handling (3) Recover the δ under IIA

PROPOSITION

Under A1, an ARUM system satisfies IIA for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ **iif** δ has a CDF given by:

$$F_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}) = \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-(z_k - \alpha_k)/\sigma} \right]^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ are location parameters and σ is a positive scale parameter.

Note: this is the multivariate logistic.

Class of models to generate the ε

Consider the following class of ε and the function $\Phi(x)$.

$$F_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi\left(-\ln \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-x_k}\right), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with $\Phi''(x) + \Phi'(x) \geq 0$, $x \in (a, \infty)$.

Note: These conditions guarantee that $F_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})$ is a CDF.

[Examples (1/2)]

If $\Phi(x) = \exp(-e^{-x/\theta})$, $x \in R$, $\theta \geq 1$:

$$F_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left[-\left(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-x_k}\right)^{1/\theta}\right], \quad x \in R^n; \text{ Correlation } \rho = 1 - \theta^{-2} \geq 0.$$

If $\Phi(x) = (1 + e^{-x/\theta})$, $x \in R$, $\theta \geq 1$:

$$F_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[1 + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-x_k}\right)^{1/\theta}\right]^{-1}, \quad x \in R^n; \text{ Correlation } \rho = 1 - \theta^{-2} / 2 > 0.$$

Examples (2/2)

If $\Phi(x) = 1 - e^{(-x/\theta)}$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, $\theta \geq 1$.

$F_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - Y^{1/\theta}$, $Y = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-x_k} < 1$ and $F_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ otherwise:

$\rho = 1 - (\pi^2 / 6) / \theta^2$ so correlation is **negative** if $\theta > \pi / \sqrt{6}$.

Cauchy works as well but Normal $\Phi(x)$ does not work!

[Yellott (1977) revisited]

THEOREM

Let A1 hold and assume that $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ has **independent** components.

An ARUM satisfies IIA for any $\boldsymbol{v} \in R^n$ **iif** the components are

i.d. Gumbel: $F_{\varepsilon_k}(x) = \exp\left[-e^{-(x-\alpha_k)/\sigma}\right]$, $x \in R$, $k \in C$,

Note:

We do not need R as the support for ε_k ;

we do not need identical distributions, for $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$

as required by Yellott.

IIA expected maximum utility

Definitions and notations

A2: The vector of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ has finite expectations.

The expected maximum utility is given by:

$$\Omega(\mathbf{v}) \equiv E \left[\max_{k=1, \dots, n} (v_k + \varepsilon_k) \right], \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Expected utility under IIA

LEMMA (Williams-Daly-Zachary in McFadden, 1981)

Let A1 and A2 hold. For the ARUM, with the associated $\Omega(\mathbf{v})$, the choice probabilities are given by:

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(\mathbf{v})}{\partial v_i} = P_{i,C}(\mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Expected utility under IIA

Assume ε_k has a mean normalized to zero, $k=1,\dots,n$.

THEOREM

Let A1-A2 hold. For the ARUM, the choice probabilities satisfy IIA for any \mathbf{v} **iif** the expected maximum utility can be written as:

$$\Omega(\mathbf{v}) = \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{v_k} \right), \quad \mathbf{v} \in R^n.$$

GEV families satisfying IIA

GEV with IIA

THEOREM

A GEV model satisfies IIA **iif** it is a multivariate Gumbel distribution with CDF given by:

$$F_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp \left[- \left(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-x_k} \right)^{1/\theta} \right], \theta \geq 1, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Note: $F_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})$ generates the Logit (as seen above).

Conclusions – more to go....

These results (potentially) be can be applied to:

- ❖ the Logit kernel model, and any probability Kernel model,
- ❖ the CES demand functions,
- ❖ more general $F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$.

I;e. the "logit" Logsum formula : $F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi\left(-\ln \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-x_k}\right)$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

with $\Phi''(x) + \Phi'(x) \geq 0$, $x \in (a, \infty)$

can be generalized as : $F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(-\Omega(-\mathbf{v}))$,

where $\Omega(\mathbf{v})$ is the expected maximum utility.

